DOI: https://doi.org/10.36719/2663-4619/116/58-62

Maleyka Mammadova
Marble and granite materials sales outlet
https://orcid.org/0009-0003-7702-289X
maleykamammadova.97@gmail.com

Risk-Based Decision Making in Green Infrastructure Projects

Abstract

As all cities from all around the world face more pressures from climate change and unrestrained urban expansion, green infrastructure green infrastructure has become a strategic response to ensure sustainable urban development. Green infrastructure integrates natural and semi-natural systems - such as parks, wetlands, green roofs, and other surfaces - into the urban fabric to provide vital ecological services including flood prevention, environmental air enhancement, and continuity of ecosystems for species migration. However, the implementation of Green Infrastructure projects is subject to fluctuating and unclear conditions stemming from environmental variability, urban complexity, and socio-political influences. These uncertainties can negatively affect the functionality and sustainability of project outcomes if not managed properly. This article examines the critical role of risk-based decision making in addressing these challenges. By utilizing a formalized decision framework to risk identification, analysis, and mitigation, risk-based decision making enhances effectiveness in selecting optimal solutions, optimizes resource use, and ensures the prolonged functionality of green infrastructure initiatives. The discussion highlights how applying risk-based decision making frameworks improve the ability to cope with uncertainty and delivers outcomes that adjust effectively over time during the conceptualization and implementation of sustainable green solutions.

Keywords: *green infrastructure, risk-based decision making, urban sustainability, environmental uncertainty, climate change, ecological resilience*

Məleykə Məmmədova
Mərmər və qranit materiallarının satışı üzrə ticarət obyekti
https://orcid.org/0009-0003-7702-289X
maleykamammadova.97@gmail.com

Yaşıl infrastruktur layihələrində risk əsaslı qərarların qəbul edilməsi

Xülasə

Ətraf mühitin dəyişməsi və nəzarətsiz şəhər genişlənməsi səbəbindən bütün şəhərlər artan təzyiqlərlə üzləşdiyi bir vaxtda, yaşıl infrastruktur dayanıqlı şəhər inkişafını təmin etmək üçün strateji bir cavab olaraq ortaya çıxıb. Yaşıl infrastruktur parklar, bataqlıqlar, yaşıl damlar və digər səthlər kimi təbii və yarı-təbii sistemləri şəhər mühitinə inteqrasiya edərək, daşqınların qarşısının alınması, havanın təmizlənməsi və növlərin miqrasiyası üçün ekosistemlərin davamlılığı kimi vacib ekoloji xidmətlər təmin edir. Lakin, Yaşıl İnfrastruktur layihələrinin həyata keçirilməsi ətraf mühit dəyişkənliyi, şəhər mürəkkəbliyi və sosial-siyasi təsirlərdən qaynaqlanan dəyişkən və qeyri-müəyyən şəraitə məruz qalır. Bu qeyri-müəyyənliklər lazımi şəkildə idarə olunmadıqda layihə nəticələrinin funksionallığına və davamlılığına mənfi təsir göstərə bilər. Bu məqalə bu çağırışların öhdəsindən gəlməkdə risk əsaslı qərar qəbuletmənin kritik rolunu araşdırır. Risklərin müəyyənləşdirilməsi, təhlili və azaldılması üçün formal qərar çərçivəsindən istifadə etməklə, risk əsaslı qərar qəbuletmə optimal həllərin seçilməsində effektivliyi artırır, resursların istifadəsini optimallaşdırır və yaşıl infrastruktur təşəbbüslərinin uzunmüddətli funksionallığını təmin edir. Müzakirədə risk əsaslı qərar qəbuletmə çərçivələrinin qeyri-müəyyənliklərlə mübarizə qabiliyyətini necə yaxşılaşdırdığı və davamlı yaşıl

həllərin konsepsiyası və tətbiqi zamanı nəticələrin effektiv şəkildə uyğunlaşmasını necə təmin etdiyi vurğulanır.

Açar sözlər: *yaşıl infrastruktur, risk əsaslı qərar qəbuletmə, şəhər davamlılığı, ətraf mühit qeyri-müəyyənliyi, iqlim dəyişikliyi, ekoloji davamlılıq*

Introduction

In the modern world of rapid urbanization and aggravating environmental challenges, the principle of green infrastructure has gained prominence as a pivotal component of resilient urban development models. Green infrastructure (GI) refers broadly to the network of totally natural and human-influenced natural areas - such as parks, water-saturated land areas, green roofs, eco-friendly ground coverings, and green spaces that provide basic support systems offered by human and ecological health including urban water drainage management, air purification, atmospheric carbon removal, and the integration of ecosystems for species movement. The main goal of green infrastructure directs towards its potential to combine urban development with ecological conservation, thereby mitigating the undesirable consequences of climate change while boosting overall life balance of humanity. However, this goal is directly linked by complex uncertainties that can reduce the effectiveness of project outcomes without appropriate oversight. The integration of natural systems within rigid urban frameworks introduces a variety of unforeseeable factors fluctuating from environmental instability to socio-political dynamics. Therefore, managing these uncertainties becomes crucial, and this is precisely the environment in which risk-based decision making (RBDM) plays a vital role. By systematically identifying, analyzing, and addressing potential risks, RBDM offers project managers and urban planners a solution-oriented structure designed to handle the risks and ambiguities of green infrastructure initiatives, ultimately improving the degree of thoroughness in the decision-making process, resource allocation, and long-term sustainability. This article explores the multifaceted nature of risks inherent in green infrastructure projects and delineates how the principles and practices of risk-based decision making can be effectively applied to enhance the resilience and success of such initiatives (Hillson, 2016).

Research

Understanding Risk in Green Infrastructure Projects

When viewed from the ground up, risk is at risk due to a lack of certainty that may intended achievements. However, David Hillson’s viewpoint with considerable impact expands this definition to cover both threats and opportunities. Hillson’s framework that contrasts two elements of risk is particularly appropriate within the specialized area focusing on green infrastructure, where uncertainty can contemporaneously threaten project success and develop platforms that encourage innovation and improvement. In green infrastructure projects, risk includes various elements: it arises from ecological degree of unexpectedness, technological innovation, financial landscapes that experience continuous change, regulatory evolution, and social dynamics. For instance, the processes occurring within living systems that sustain green infrastructure mechanisms are influenced by climate changes, non-native organisms causing ecological harm, and habitat transition, which can alter the predefined goals or consequences over time. Similarly, new construction techniques or materials predetermined to increase the environmental resilience might introduce operational risks if they are still theoretical in real-world contexts. Financially, experiments conducted at the outset often carry uncertain returns, complicated further by altering the benefits and motivations embedded in policy and market conditions. Social acceptance and interaction between the public and decision-makers introduce additional layers of risk related to public support or opposing force, which can affect project lifecycle and outcomes. Deeply understanding these various risks, instead of treating it as a standalone issue, enables project stakeholders to develop approaches designed for long-term success that account for interconnections and dynamic changes, thereby strengthening inherent possibilities for long-term project viability (Project Management Institute, 2017).

The Framework of Risk-Based Decision Making

Risk-based decision making is a carefully planned approach that empowers decision-makers to integrate carefully and accurately risk factors into their planning and management processes. The fundamental starting point for making decisions based on risk lies in several key components: risk identification, risk analysis, risk evaluation, risk treatment, and sustainable risk monitoring. At the time of recognizing risks, stakeholders collaborate to explore areas of potential risk by drawing upon previous data, expert judgment, and scenario analysis. This stage greatly impacts green infrastructure success projects due to the detailed and original qualities often involved. Risk assessment implemented in the following phase provides a numeric value or a descriptive analysis based on the likelihood and potential impact of risks. They discovered during analysis, often needs advanced analytical tools such as Monte Carlo simulations, decision trees, or sensitivity analyses. Risk evaluation organizes tasks by key risks based on their significant rat and urgency, enabling the process of distributing available resources toward mitigating the most substantial threats and turning potential opportunities into benefits. Risk treatment then covers strategies such as avoidance, reduction, transfer, or acceptance, developed in consideration of the specific context of each risk. Crucially, risk-based decision making recognizes that risk management is not an action conducted on a single occasion but requires continuous monitoring and responses that adjust to changing conditions, particularly as green infrastructure projects evolve in response to feedback from natural systems and changes in society that align with these events (Koh, 2018).

Environmental and Ecological Risks

Environmental risks are among the highly significant unknown factors that challenge the green infrastructure projects. The systems at the heart of these projects rely on natural landscapes and ecological processes are affected by irregular patterns and sudden changes or disturbances that can compromise project goals. Climate change, together with the corresponding increases in the frequency and severity of dangerous or disruptive weather events such as floods, droughts, and heatwaves, generates a severe threat to the performance and durability of green infrastructure facilities. For instance, an engineered basin for storing excess rainwater designed to handle recorded rainfall distributions may become insufficient in the face of rainfall characterized by increased strength and volume, leading to overflow or system failure. Moreover, hazards to natural environments involve the consequences beyond the intended scope of altering habitats, such as the disruption of species that originate in a specific area or the introduction of plants that threaten local ecosystems by uncontrolled growth, which can destabilize local ecosystems and hinder the advantages provided by biological diversity. These risks are further strained by the challenges of predicting changes in the structure and function of ecosystems over time and the interactions between multiple environmental stressors. Therefore, approaches that evolve in response to environmental and societal feedback that incorporate ongoing monitoring and reconfigurable structure are essential to managing environmental risks in green infrastructure projects with precision (Guidice, Novarina, Voghera, 2023).

Financial and Economic Risks

Leading elements requiring careful attention of implementing green infrastructure is managing the economic vulnerabilities that demand careful risk management involved. Green infrastructure projects typically involve investments requiring substantial resources before yielding returns, often without immediate or financial outcomes with a high degree of certainty. Unlike traditional infrastructure solutions focusing on engineered components rather than natural systems, which may be justified via technical functions that have distinct and unambiguous purposes and outcomes that can be clearly observed and measured, green infrastructure's benefits, such as a noticeable improvement in the cleanliness of the air, a noticeable improvement in the visual appeal of urban areas, and ecosystem services are more widespread, long-term, and hard to express through financial analysis. This creates challenges for supporting efforts aimed at financing projects and justifying expenditures to stakeholders and providers of financial resources and backing. Furthermore, the financial environment that impacts project viability is exacerbated by uncertainties in costs incurred to ensure operational functionality over time, potential regulatory incentives or penalties, and market

conditions characterized by frequent and unpredictable changes. David Hillson emphasizes the importance of apprehending the nature of these uncertainties not merely as threats but as opportunities that align with long-term goals and strategies to optimize investments. By applying meticulous risk assessment methods that integrate analytical tools that apply probabilistic techniques to financial forecasting and scenario planning, project managers can more accurately predict potential costs and benefits, accordingly facilitating more resilient financial planning and decision making. Financial benefits that accrue in the long run, such as reduced disaster recovery expenses and positive shifts in property valuation metrics, though more complex to realize financial gains initially, accentuate the decisive significance of providing a multifaceted response to environmental stressors as an investment in a framework for sustaining urban life amidst environmental and social change instead of being reduced to a mere budgetary consideration (Hillson, 2006).

Social and Community Risks

The measurable impact of green infrastructure in urban sustainability is inbuilt within the relationship with the communities they serve. A broad spectrum of factors falls under social risks from public opposition and political resistance stemming from issues of social equity and benefit distribution. Widespread public sentiment influencing policy and acceptance plays a leading role in defining the progression and milestones of projects; even the most innovative and well-executed green infrastructure designs can face holds or discontinuations if community stakeholders perceive it as interfering, financially demanding, or irrelevant. This is often rooted in the community's unfamiliarity with the issue or understanding of green infrastructure's benefits, underscoring the urgency for effective communication and facilitating cooperation among stakeholders throughout the project lifecycle. Moreover, ensuring fair distribution of resources and benefits arises when green infrastructure yields unequal benefits favor certain populations, resulting in the escalation of existing inequalities. For instance, green spaces in well-resourced and economically flourishing neighborhoods might improve property values and health outcomes, communities struggling with insufficient infrastructure and investment continue to lack access to such amenities. Managing these risks related to community engagement and support involves not only technical planning but also deliberate efforts to promote participation, transparency, and trust among multiple stakeholders. This social dimension of risk management is critical for ensuring that green infrastructure realizes its commitment to supporting sustainable, equitable urban environments (Hillson, Simon, 2012).

Regulatory and Policy Risks

Green infrastructure projects function within the systems of regulations that involve multiple government agencies that can both encourage and prevent their development. Regulatory risks consist of difficulties with regulatory compliance and environmental standards, urban planning laws, and construction protocols, which may vary regulations covering various regions that adapt over time. Legal shifts, such as adjustments in resource allocation in financial field, environmental regulations, or urban design frameworks, can immediately transform project achievability or requirements. These uncertainties advice project managers to stay conscious and resourceful by continuously monitoring policy landscape and actively engaging with regulators of the law. Risk-based decision making helps this adaptability by using scenario analysis of potential policy shifts into planning processes, equipping projects to respond effectively to legislative updates and changes. In order to transform regulatory risks into opportunities, there is a need to boost policy landscapes by early engagement and collaboration within members. These opportunities define advantageous circumstances that support green infrastructure growth (Burke, Barron, 2014).

Technical and Operational Risks

Analyzed from a technical point of view, green infrastructure presents unique challenges compared to other infrastructure projects. Some uncertainties arise while introducing new materials, innovative construction techniques, and the integration of living systems. For instance, the functionality of green roof systems depends on the selection of plant species, soil media, and waterproofing systems. Technical vulnerabilities are not confined to design alone but extend to ongoing maintenance activities; the sustainability of green infrastructure components depends on proper maintenance, which can make it difficult if there is lack of knowledge or funding. Failures

within operational framework can weaken the system work and damage people's confidence. Consequently, risk-based decision making should include detailed technical risks assessments in order to make sure that existing operational teams are directed to manage the complexities properly during the project lifecycle (Vanhoucke, 2013).

Incorporating risk-based decision making into the planning of green infrastructure.

In order to effectively implement green infrastructure projects, the broader planning and governance framework should be included. This integration can be activated with robust stakeholder engagement, which makes sure that different perspectives, knowledge systems, and values are combined together into risk identification and prioritization techniques. During mitigation process the most important thing is to engage communities, policymakers, scientists, and engineers for fosters a shared understanding of risks. Moreover, contionuous improvement management is flexible process that should be analyzed appropriately by recognizing uncertainties and threats in order to accommodate new information and changing conditions. This cyclical method enables continuous learning, thereby bolstering the resilience of projects within the environmental and social dynamics (Martinelli, Milosevic, 2016).

Conclusion

In conclusion, risk-based decision making represents an essential model in order to deal with the complexities and uncertainties which is fundamental in green infrastructure projects. By continuously identifying, assessing, and managing a broad scope of risks, stakeholders can optimize outcomes and safeguard investments. David Hillson's holistic understanding of risk which covers both threats and opportunities, offers a meaningful angle in order to examine these challenges. As cities all over the world more commonly implement green infrastructure solutions to enhance sustainability and resilience, integrating Risk-Based Decision Making into project planning and execution will be essential in project lifecycle. Continued investigation and implementations must keep improving risk assessment techniques, increase stakeholder participation qualitatively, and implement adaptive governance frameworks, thereby enhancing the resilience and effectiveness of green infrastructure projects in dynamic urban environments.

References

1. Hillson, D. (2016). *Managing risk in projects* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
2. Project Management Institute. (2017). *A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK® Guide)* (6th ed.). Project Management Institute.
3. Koh, J. M. (2018). *Green infrastructure financing*. Springer.
4. Guidice, B., Novarina, G., & Voghera, A. (2023). *Green infrastructure, planning strategies and environmental design*. Springer.
5. Hillson, D. (2006). *Effective opportunity management for projects: Exploiting positive risk*. CRC Press.
6. Hillson, D., & Simon, P. (2012). *Practical project risk management: The ATOM methodology* (2nd ed.). Management Concepts.
7. Burke, R., & Barron, S. (2014). *Project management leadership: Building creative teams* (2nd ed.). Wiley.
8. Vanhoucke, M. (2013). *Project management with dynamic scheduling: Baseline scheduling, risk analysis and project control* (2nd ed.). Springer.
9. Martinelli, R. J., & Milosevic, D. Z. (2016). *Project management toolbox: Tools and techniques for the practicing project manager* (2nd ed.). Wiley.